

Charter Township of Lyon
Board of Trustees
Regular Meeting Minutes
July 5, 2017

Approved: **AUGUST 7, 2017**

The meeting was called to order by Supervisor Dolan at 7:00 p.m.

Roll Call: Lise Blades
Patricia Carcone
Michele Cash
John Dolan
Kristofer Enlow
John Hicks
Sean O'Neil

Guests: 18

Also Present: Chris Doozan, McKenna Associates
Matthew Quinn, Township Attorney
Leslie Zawada, Civil Engineering Solutions, Inc.

APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

Approval of Regular Meeting Minutes of June 3, 2017
Approval of Special Meeting Minutes of June 19, 2017
Approval of Disbursements
Building Department Report for May 2017
Fire Report May 2017
Zoning Report June 2017
Sheriff Tri-Annual Report
Barrier/Boundary around Wastewater Treatment Plant

**Motion by Cash, second by Carcone
To approve the consent agenda as presented.**

**Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Hicks, Enlow, Blades, O'Neil, Carcone, Cash, Dolan
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Trustee Enlow reported he attended the SEMCOG Quarterly General Assembly and the main discussions were about the regional mass transit initiative and looking at revamping to be placed on the ballot in 2018 or 2020 with a smaller area. Also, the County Commissioner Phil Weipert was elected Vice Chairperson for SEMCOG.

Trustee Blades reported the ribbon cutting for Pearson Elementary is on October 8th at 2:00 p.m. She attended the pre-construction meeting along with Trustee Hicks for the Napier and 10 Mile Intersection Project. The start date for phase 1 is July 5 with the 10 Mile Road closure to begin on July 17 and the end of phase one is mid-October at which time the roundabout will open to traffic. A detour will be provided and an access road will be maintained at all times. The Napier Road paving from 9 to 10 Mile is expected to begin in the spring of 2018.

Trustee Hicks reported on this day in 1946 the bikini swimsuit was first introduced.

Supervisor Dolan reported on the DPW position, they had 4 applicants and have interviewed two of them so far and things are going well.

Jim Chuck, 25365 Stanley Lane – Mr. Chuck provided information from the latest 9th Annual Kite Festival.

Supervisor Dolan commended Pinnacle Homes for the sidewalks at the War Dog Memorial and the pavilion at Atchison Park.

CALL TO THE PUBLIC - None

REPORTS

DDA – None

Fire Chief – Deputy Chief Berry reported after 20 years of service Don Collick has decided to retire. On Friday from 12-3 p.m. at the fire station they will have a cake and coffee celebration for Don. He thanked him for his service. He also introduced 3 of the new hires for the department. Also, the concrete project will start the end of July.

Sheriff – Lieutenant Venus reported the firework calls have been non-stop and it is very hard to enforce. The fireworks ordinance was enforced last night in Mill River. Trustee Blades commented how much she appreciated the Tri-Annual Report.

Planning Commission – Treasurer Carcone brought the Board up to date regarding the latest Planning Commission meetings.

ZBA – Trustee Blades gave an update regarding the last ZBA meeting.

Park Advisory Board – Trustee Hicks brought the Board up to date regarding the last meeting.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

**Motion by O'Neil, second by Cash
To approve the agenda as presented.**

**Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Dolan, Enlow, Blades, O'Neil, Carcone, Cash, Hicks
 Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

UNFINISHED BUISNESS

A. Zoning Map Amendment – Wellhead Protection District; AP-17-12 – Second Reading

Mr. Doozan explained at the advice of the Township’s environmental consultant they are expanding the wellhead protection overlay district. There is no change since the last meeting. The Township attorneys have put this into ordinance form.

**Motion by Enlow, second by Hicks
To approve the Zoning Map Amendment, No. 184-17 Wellhead Protection District, AP-17-12 Second Reading.**

**Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Carcone, Hicks, Enlow, O’Neil, Cash, Blades, Dolan
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

NEW BUSINESS

A. Artesian of Pioneer Water Discussion

Mr. Kidston provided an update regarding the water project. He explained they have had some good meetings with the MDEQ. He is comfortable with how things are moving along. The one change since they talked was about the Nano filtration due to the discharge limits at the sewage plant the Nano filtration cannot be used. They have proposed a fluid bed system and noted the cost is slightly less. The contract cost has not changed. There was brief discussion regarding the sand that would go in the fluid bed systems.

B. Saddle Creek Planned Development Amendment to the Planned Development Agreement

Mr. Doozan reviewed the McKenna Associates memo dated June 19, 2017. He explained there are two options to address the situation and grant the homeowner the relief he seeks as follows:

1. The 2-foot limitation can either be deleted or increased through an amendment to the Planned Development Agreement.
2. The Planned Development Agreement can be amended to deal specifically with the circumstances on this one parcel.

Mr. Doozan explained he is looking for direction from the Board regarding which option it deems most acceptable.

Trustee O’Neil commented that if they apply a value people will just ask for more. Mr. Mason, homeowner, explained that he came before the Planning Commission and the Board one other time, his petition came from Lombardo. The value of the walkway in

question will always remain the same distance and the plans have not changed since his initial submission in 2015. In short it's a small triangular section that is 3' x 8' approximately 12 sq. ft. and it's primarily to allow his father who is either in a wheelchair or walker to get along the grade and onto the deck. Mr. Mason explained he has agreements from all the neighbors that touch his property that they would not raise any concerns constructing the deck/walkway. He felt each case should be looked at; he would not define it as a hard value and suggested perhaps using a percentage. He explained everyone on that court with the exception of one home has a grade which comes off the driveway that has a steep grade anywhere from a 6-8' drop.

Trustee O'Neil suggested that if this would be changed, he felt it would be important to involve the neighborhood to make sure they all understand there are pros and cons. Mr. Mason explained they did meet in 2015 with the homeowners association. Trustee O'Neil felt there should be some communication with the homeowners association.

Mr. Mason felt it would be a limited case out of 252 homes that would need this. Treasurer Carcone stated she is on the homeowner's side and felt this is an isolated incident.

Trustee Hicks commented he liked the percentage idea. Trustee Blades felt she was leaning towards amending to this particular parcel.

Mr. Doozan clarified that a letter was received from Mr. Mason on 12/13/15 which stated the approximate setback violation was 2 to 2.5 feet. Mr. Mason explained he must not have drafted it perfectly; basically its 2 feet from the corner of the house he would need an additional 2 to 2.5 feet for a total of 4 feet.

Trustee O'Neil briefly discussed the cost and the process since a formal request is still needed as well as a public hearing.

Mr. Doozan felt that it should be amended to deal with the 2 foot reduction and either increase that or eliminate it and turn it over to the administration team. He felt there will be additional cases.

The Board was in favor of option 2. Mr. Mason explained he raised objection to the process, he would hope that there would be some relief since this was what was presented to the Planning Commission and Trustees at the time and the plan did not change. He did not feel he would be able to get Lombardo to pay a second time. He would hope there would be other sort of mechanism to expedite this.

C. Road Closure for Pumpkin Fest Parade

Mr. Weipert explained he is requesting permission to close roads for the parade. The parade route begins with a line up in the Lyon Trail Subdivision and proceeds north into the City of South Lyon.

**Motion by O'Neil, second by Blades
To approve the Pumpkin Fest road closures per the letter dated June 20,**

2017 from Phil Weipert.

**Voice Call Vote: Ayes: Unanimous
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

D. Oak Ridge PD – Conceptual Review; AP-17-10

Mr. Doozan reviewed the McKenna Associates memo dated May 23, 2017. He explained although a couple of Commissioners had reservations about the distance between homes, overall the Planning Commission responded favorably to the basic concept of clustering the houses on smaller parcels so as to preserve open space, wetlands, and woodlands. One Commissioner commented that preservation of the woodlands was “paramount”. Two Commissioners indicated they had no concerns about the size of the lots, but other Commissioners thought the lots that area or near 10,000 sq. ft. are too small. Commissioners commented on lots 1, 42 and 71, which abut Ten Mile Road, which they thought should meet the 75 foot setback requirement.

In conclusion, as noted in the memo, no action shall be taken by the Township Board at the conceptual review stage.

Ms. Zawada referenced the CES memo dated June 21, 2017. She noted the sanitary sewer easement across the country club property and this site is a public benefit that will help with sewer capacity issues in the future. She noted the northerly cul-de-sac length of 658 feet vs. the typical 600 feet, due to the unique characteristics of this site.

Ms. Zawada referred to an email from Charles T. Keller at the Road Commission which stated “in reviewing this proposed development and where the new approaches are located with respect to the Center Left Turn Lanes of the adjacent developments, it’s RCOC’s recommendation that the Center Lane for Left turns of the existing developments to the west and east of this proposed development be connected with a Center left Turn Lane. It only takes a redistribution of 3 to 4 vehicles to show the need on the warrant chart. This would be a significant safety enhancement on a section of highway that continues to be a significant focus of concern for local residents, as this area of the county continues to see significant development without significant improvements to the local highways servicing the area.”

Trustee Enlow confirmed the lagoon system would be disconnected. Ms. Zawada stated they have a connection point through Walnut Creek Estates already but an additional public benefit is that the Country Club will provide an additional easement so at some point in the future the pump station that is being installed as part of Rathmor Phase 3 will directly discharge their waste to the plant.

Mr. Fingerroot provided a power point presentation giving an overview of the proposed project. He explained they are not asking for a density bonus. The parallel plan asks for 71 units and that is what they are asking for. The benefits are as follows:

- No density bonus
- Reduce wetland and woodland impacts and natural features
- 67% of the gross site is left in its natural state
- Disconnect the sewage lagoons
- Sanitary sewer easement
- Provide a second access point to the waste water treatment plant
- Proper transition from multifamily to the west and estate lots to the east

Mr. Fingerroot confirmed they will have enough room for decks on these homes.

Trustee Blades asked if they can change the website for the Legacy of Lyon to say they are located in Lyon Township. She commented from the conceptual to preliminary if the parallel plan would meet the requirements of the township ordinance. She questioned the spacing of the streets. Section 22-243 item G. lots facing a 3 way intersection should be aligned to prevent headlight glare into the building envelope of the living area. She is concerned that will happen with lots 16, 9 and 28. She commented in the last meeting there was a discussion having similar amounts of wetland fills on the parallel plan as to the PD plan. She agreed with the Planning Commission that the homes are very close to one another and the setbacks are all violated. She is concerned with the wetland setbacks being encroached which may cause issues with pools and decks. She is happy that they are preserving a significant portion in the back of the parcel in the parallel plan.

Trustee Hicks stated he would like to see those three lots on Ten Mile a full 75'. He has no problem with the lot size.

Trustee Enlow stated lots 1-6 backing up to the larger lots in Walnut Creek, he would prefer those be larger lots to blend in with the existing ones. The 17 lots would need to have deed restrictions for decks and pools. The center left turn lane would qualify for a community benefit. He would like to see some sort of connection to the open space to the north that is hard surfaced. He commented that the wetland fill did not balance out and the home products that they are proposing are not going to fit on lots 19, 21, 25, 42 and 46 that are on the parallel plan. Also, on the parallel plan there is a portion of the storm water management system that is directly in the wetlands which is against the ordinance. There is work that needs to be done on the parallel plan. If the setbacks were met it would take care of the 17 lots that would have to have deed restrictions for decks and pools. There are 4 models with different elevations he wondered what the distribution is. Mr. Fingerroot stated this is not uncommon, and there are differences. Regarding lots 1-6, they made those lots deeper and they will have an easement where they will plant big evergreens across there to create separation. There are woodlands there now and more will be added. Mr. Fingerroot confirmed he will make sure that is on the submission.

Trustee O'Neil encouraged the applicant to consider the same buffering as the east side has for the west side of the development. The parallel plan has to be workable and doable before they would agree with it. He is also concerned about the setbacks. The scale may also be an issue. He is also concerned with the easterly drive and Chubb Road. He felt the left turn lane is critical. He stated traffic is a very big concern for him since Ten Mile Road is already at a failing level of service.

Treasurer Carcone agreed with the buffer for lots 1-6 or to have larger lots. She felt the lots on the west side should have more distance between the houses. She would like to see the front setbacks enlarged. She would like to see the road as a primary road for the haulers.

Supervisor Dolan agreed with the Board members comments. As far as legitimizing the parallel plan it would be a good place to start. He liked the idea of the open space that is being preserved. He didn't mind the lot size. He is concerned with the setbacks and he would like to see the left turn lane, along with a hard surfaced road for the haulers.

E. Deer Haven at Hasenclever Farms – Site Condo, AP-17-19

Mr. Doozan referenced the McKenna Associates memo dated June 27, 2017. He explained the Planning commission concluded that Deer Haven at Hasenclever Farms was a “great project,” that offered a good solution to the Hasenclever Farms access issues. There were a couple of residents from the area who expressed concern about the volume of traffic on Griswold Road.

The Planning Commission unanimously approved a motion to approve the site plan for Deer Haven at Hasenclever Farms subject to compliance with the conditions in the review letters from the Township Planner Township Engineer, Township Attorney, and Fire Marshal.

Ms. Zawada referenced the CES letter dated June 28, 2017. She noted some items that need to be addressed on final site plan sets are as follows:

Sheet #3

1. Part of unit #10 within the freeboard area of the forebay/detention basin area. This is not allowed and should be corrected.
2. It is assumed that all property owners along Deer Haven Road are in agreement for this proposed development and for Deer Haven Road to become public, that they are willing to deed their portion of their property to RCOC. Plans should show these areas that are not part of this project but are required to be dedicated to the RCOC. Approvals will be subject to full cooperation of all property owners along Deer Haven Road for dedication to RCOC.

Storm Water Management, Sheet #6

1. Data and information for the ultimate storm water outlet must be provided.
2. The forebay sizing calculation must be redone to provide for the required storage of ½ inch over the entire on-site drainage district.

Ms. Zawada stated they are recommending approval as noted, subject to these items being addressed in the final site plan sets.

Mr. LeClair from Greentech Engineers gave a power point presentation which provided an overview of the project. They are proposing 14 lots and the majority of the property is open field area and a couple of small wetlands.

Trustee Blades stated she liked that the plan meets the setbacks requirements and preserves the woodland buffer and the open space is accessible to all. She questioned the lot that has been cleared facing 8 Mile. Mr. Mancinelli confirmed it will become a building lot and they will build it up with the dirt that was removed. Mr. LeClair explained the pocket park will be considered a common element within the development and it will be utilized for the public. She felt the tree replacement is also a benefit since it exceeds the requirement. Overall, she is in favor of the project.

Trustee Hicks and Clerk Cash also felt they did a nice job with this project. Treasurer Carcone explained the Planning Commission was all in support.

Trustee Enlow questioned the driveway along the south property line, he questioned if that was encompassed in the open space. He believes the house had access to Deer Haven. Mr. Mancinelli stated they would stop before they get there, it is not part of anything, but their access will be off of Deer Haven. Trustee Enlow asked if there is sewer under Griswold Road. Mr. Mancinelli stated they would go underneath the road.

Motion by Carcone, second by Hicks

To approve AP-17-19 Deer Haven at Hasenclever Farms proposed open space preservation development as presented subject to the comments from McKenna Associates memo dated June 27, 2017 and CES memo dated June 28, 2017.

**Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Hicks, Enlow, Cash, Blades, Carcone, O'Neil, And Dolan
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

F. Huntmoore PD – Conceptual AP-17-18

Mr. Doozan reviewed the McKenna Associates memo dated June 27, 2017. He explained the Planning Commission recognized some positive aspects to the plan, such as ample setback from Ten Mile Road and the preservation of woodlands on the southern portion of the parcel. However, Commissioners were unanimous in their opinion that the plan was calling for far too many units, especially in consideration that community benefits were considered negligible.

The developer's land use planner commented that the proposed development was comparable to other surrounding developments in terms of lot size, lot width and density, such as the Stoneleigh developments, Woodlands of Lyon and Pinehurst.

Each planned development must be considered on the basis of its own circumstances and merits; however, in the case of Stoneleigh 1 and 3, foreclosure and payment of back taxes and assessments were important consideration. In the case of Pinehurst, potential annexation was an important consideration. Also, the underlying zoning was a combination of R-0.3 and R-1, 0.

Planning Commissioners also commented on the usability of the open space, noting that there was no place for children to play, except by Ten Mile Road.

Ms. Zawada referenced the CES memo dated June 28, 2017. She noted the following comments regarding Grading Drainage, Storm Water Management on sheet 4 as follows:

1. The proposed freeboard, storage, permanent water, bottom of pond, and top of bank elevations should be added to the plan for all proposed basins.
2. The sediment forebay volume provided for Basin "1" should be corrected to the higher volume as marked in red on the plans. The total detention volume appears to be sufficient.

Mr. Paul LeBlanc provided an overview of the project with a power point presentation. He explained they are proposing 66 lots with the preservation of the woodlands and open space along Ten Mile which provides a rural view along Ten Mile. They are proposing 15,000 sq. ft. lots 100' wide. He briefly touched on the density and how the proposed density is comparable to the surrounding projects.

Mr. Phil Adkison explained the density should be affected by the special assessment treatment that this property has had. This property is subject to a special assessment for a water and sewer line and it was determined that it should be assessed on 55 units. He suggested that ought to, in fairness and a matter of law be the starting point for density. He understood his client bought the property from a 3rd party rather than from the Township, he did not think that made a difference. He suggested to his client as a benefit to reimburse the Township for any out of pocket expenses it incurred when the property went to foreclosure for lack of payment on the special assessment. He thought that would be a fair way to address that aspect of the density.

Mr. Elkow gave a history of how he obtained the property. He explained he has since been making the payments on the property.

Treasurer Carcone explained this property has the southwest sewer and water assessments on it, which started in 2007. It was owned by Van Oyen and Healy, and they had agreed to participate in the special assessment district. In 2007, they paid their entire bill. In 2008, Van Oyen only paid half of the bill, so it went delinquent. In 2009 they started to let the property go. In 2011 it went to tax sale. She was present at the auction and she introduced herself to the purchaser. She felt that the purchaser did not know there were special assessments on the property. This property has no prepaid REU's on it. The purchaser then flipped the property to Mr. Elkow. Treasurer Carcone explained they did not allow the density for all the REU's, some had to buy more and some had to buy less. There was some form of a rough calculation and from all the SAD properties there were some that had too many REU's and some had too

little, how that was exactly done she didn't know. They owned it since 2012 and they could have brought it in to develop it at any time.

Ms. Zawada stated she recalled total acreage taking out the approximate area of the wetland but assumed a certain area of the wetland was buildable, that's how she recalled it but noted that was a long time ago. She questioned what the original assessment for the water SAD was for that property.

Mr. Quinn stated that the SAD did not purchase REU's but the construction assessments against this property were based on 55 REU's. Treasurer Carcone stated yes that is correct.

Trustee Blades questioned paving 15'-18' of Douglas Road. Mr. LeBlanc stated part of the discussion was providing a center turn lane which would encroach into Douglas. Douglas is gravel right now and how far would the bypass lane go and be paved. Trustee Blades stated in the process she would like further information on that. She is concerned with wetlands being on a lot and that there are not public benefits. She is concerned about the open space and the 57% density bonus. There is no open space other than the perimeter; she felt that it was not usable for the entire community. She noticed the perimeter open space on the east side could overflow to the property to the east. She noted 10' between homes would be inappropriate. She referred to a resident's comments regarding a play structure underneath tension lines. In dealing with the overall parallel plan she has some concerns with whether or not the plan is doable. She has a concern with the entrance and its distance to Douglas, Fairview Dr. and Raney Lane. If this was developed as a PD she questioned the distances from any street or road intersections, she would like to have some answers regarding those distances.

Clerk Cash stated she had concerns regarding open space behind the homes and she felt people would encroach on it. She is not sure regarding the REU's and asking for an additional 10 homes. The homes are very tight and concerns regarding kids playing right off of Ten Mile Rd.

Trustee Enlow also questioned how the 55 REU's shakes out. He felt there are a lot of homes in there. He is concerned with the setbacks. Mr. LeBlanc explained there are only a handful of lots that have narrower side setbacks. He explained that Mr. Deak has said they will change those and meet the side setbacks. Mr. LeBlanc stated that won't be an issue, they can change that. Mr. Enlow stated that his biggest issue is not being compatible with the Master Plan and how it specifically addresses parts of the Ten Mile

Corridor and states until improvements are made to increase the capacity of Ten Mile Road it would be inappropriate to allow increased density on the remaining large developable parcels along Ten Mile Road. It actually specifically states the parcel west of Stoneleigh. He questioned if there will be a left turn lane, and the issue of Douglas Road. He also noticed that the traffic study did not go all the way to Milford or Griswold Road and he would be curious as to the impacts on those intersections.

Trustee O'Neil felt that 42 units was appropriate based on the parallel plan and Mr. Doozan's memo. He did not see any recognizable public benefit. They are talking about a nearly 60% density bonus without an overall community benefit. He agreed with the

Planning Commission comments and what's been said at this meeting. He is also concerned with the level of service on this road.

Mr. Quinn stated based on Mr. Atkinson's statements they will write a letter regarding the calculation of the 55 REU's and how they were allocated to this property and how the construction assessment was based. They also have to separate and look at the water. The other issue is the question on how the Township ended up paying for that, he explained they had to pay the County. Mr. Atkinson stated his client may be willing to reimburse the Township for that money that was paid out of the General Fund.

Trustee O'Neil also stated that they are under no obligation to approve a PD which leaves them with the underlying zoning. Mr. LeBlanc stated he understood.

Ardis Loos, 58200 Ten Mile Road – She lives directly across from the property and her concern is Ten Mile Road; they can't get out of their driveway now. There are so many accidents including a fatality.

Justin Arnett, 57962 Ten Mile Road – He has seen 5-6 accidents and that is just when he's been home. He has two kids and they had to fight to get a bus stop there because he felt his kids should not walk to Douglas Drive which is ridiculous when Ten Mile is 50 mph road. It's very unsafe now, and putting more homes there will make it worse.

There was brief discussion regarding the possibility of a speed study. Ms. Zawada agreed to investigate getting a speed study.

G. Approval of Utility Vehicles for Sheriff Patrol on Bike Path

Lieutenant Venus explained he is asking for authorization to get estimates for a side by side utility vehicle to patrol the bike path. The vehicle will also have tools, portable air compressors and a first aid kit if anyone needed assistance. He would like to get 3 estimates.

The Board felt this would be a great idea and helpful to the community and provided Lieutenant Venus authorization to move forward in obtaining the bids.

H. Authorizing Resolution for Water Supply System Capital Improvement Bonds

Treasurer Carcone explained this is the bond resolution to give them the ability to go out for bonding for the water system that Pioneer is working on. This bond in this agreement is for 30 years. She explained these can be paid off early as well or the board can approve for less time.

**Motion by O'Neil, second by Blades
To authorize bonds up to 17 million dollars for improvements to the**

Township water supply system for a period of 20 years.

**Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Hicks, Carcone, Enlow, Cash, Blades, O'Neil, Dolan
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

I. Request for Proposal for Legal Services

Mr. Quinn suggested instead of using the words "executive session" it should read "closed session" and made a change regarding the insurance on page 6 paragraph 11. Treasurer Carcone, Supervisor Dolan and Trustee Blades agreed to serve on the sub-committee.

Motion by O'Neil, second by Blades

To request proposals for legal services with fees not to exceed \$1,000.00 and to appoint Supervisor Dolan, Treasurer Carcone and Trustee Blades to serve on the sub-committee to analyze the proposals received and make a recommendation to the Township Board at a later date including the two changes Mr. Quinn incorporated.

**Roll Call Vote: Ayes: O'Neil, Carcone, Dolan, Cash, Enlow, Hicks, Blades
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

J. Resolution 2017-10 West Nile Reimbursement Program 2017

Treasurer Carcone explained it is approximately \$3,000.00 for the reimbursement.

Motion by Cash, second by Hicks

To approve Resolution 2017-10 authorizing reimbursement from the West Nile Virus Fund.

**Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Cash, Enlow, Hicks, Blades, Carcone, Dolan, O'Neil
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

K. Request for Resolution 2017-11 of Support in Favor of a Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund Application

Mr. Doozan referred to the McKenna Associates memo dated June 23, 2017. He explained that Andrew Haapala, the Unit Manager at Island Lake Recreation /area, has

asked for a resolution of support in favor of Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund application for phase 1 of the Regional Trail Connector through Island Lake. This project benefits Lyon Township residents because the Huron Valley Trail connects to the Island Lake trail system. Consequently, he would encourage their support of the grant application.

Motion by Enlow, second by Blades

To approve Resolution 2017-11 support in favor of a Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund Application.

**Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Dolan, Enlow, Blades, O'Neil, Carcone, Hicks, Cash
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

L. Resolution 2017-12 Approving Grant Agreement for New Election Equipment

Clerk Cash explained they no longer have any election equipment. The State has approved 3 vendors and the county has gone with Hart Intercivic, Inc. The State would like the Board to pass the resolution to recognize that she has permission to sign the grant agreement.

Motion by O'Neil, second by Cash

To approve Resolution 2017-12.

**Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Carcone, O'Neil, Hicks, Cash, Blades, Enlow, Dolan
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by O'Neil, second by Carcone

To adjourn the regular Board of Trustees meeting at 11:28 p.m.

**Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous
Nays: None**

MOTION APPROVED

Respectfully Submitted,

Kellie Angelosanto

Kellie Angelosanto
Recording Secretary

Michele Cash

Michele Cash
Township Clerk